1	KATHRYN KENEALLY	
2	Assistant Attorney General	
3	ADAM R. SMART	
4	Trial Attorney, Tax Division	
5	U.S. Department of Justice Ben Franklin Station, P.O. Box 683	
	Washington, D.C. 20044	
6	Telephone: (202) 307-6422	
7	Facsimile: (202) 307-0054 Email: Adam.R.Smart@usdoj.gov	
8	Western.Taxcivil@usdoj.gov	
9	Attorney for the United States of America	
10	DANIEL G. BOGDEN	
11	United States Attorney District of Nevada	
	Of Counsel	
12	·	
13	IN THE UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
14	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Civil No. 3:12-cv-59-PMP-WGC
16	, in the second	CIVII No. 3.12-64-39-FWF-WGC
17	Plaintiff,	JOINT MOTION FOR STAY OF
18	v.	PROCEEDINGS
	DAVID MARTINI, individually; MARGARET	
19	MARTINI, individually; DAVID and MARGARET MARTINI as trustees of the	
20	MANZANITA FAMILY TRUST; MARGARET	
21	MARTINI as trustee of the MARJANE FAMILY	
22	TRUST; INCLINE MEADOWS LLC; and WASHOE COUNTY	
23	Defendants.	
24	COMES NOW, the United States of America	- ı, David Martini, individually; Margaret Ma
25	individually: David and Margaret Martini as Trustae	a of the Manzanite Femily Tweet Manzant l

COMES NOW, the United States of America, David Martini, individually; Margaret Martini, individually; David and Margaret Martini as Trustees of the Manzanita Family Trust; Margaret Martini as Trustee of the Marjane Family Trust; and Incline Meadows LLC, who hereby jointly move the court for stay of the current case in order to allow the parties sufficient time to see if a resolution of the issues

26

27

25

26

27

28

in the instant case is possible. The parties make this request in good faith, and not for the purposes of delay. As explained below, a stay of this action will significantly reduce use of the Court's resources.

The parties have now completed discovery under the schedule set by the Court following the Martinis' previously requested extension of the discovery deadlines from January 7, 2013 to February 15, 2013, which was not opposed by the United States. (Dkt. 24, Dkt. 25). That discovery included the production of approximately twenty bankers boxes of documents by the Martinis, including numerous envelopes of receipts, cancelled checks, bank records, and other responsive documents, which had not been previously produced to the United States (or the IRS during the audit process), and therefore had not been (nor could they have been) taken into account by the IRS in the course of its examination of the tax years at issue in this case.

The United States conducted depositions of the Martinis following this production to determine the scope of these documents and what impact they could have on the determination of the Martinis' tax liabilities. Based on these depositions it appears they could have substantial impact on certain of the tax years at issue. During the course of these depositions the Martinis expressed a willingness to prepare Form 1040 returns for each of the years at issue in this case using the information they produced, as well as the other information they received from the IRS. Because the Martinis had previously not prepared Form 1040 returns for any of the years at issue in this case, the IRS had previously determined the Martinis tax liabilities based on information the IRS obtained from entities reporting payments to the Martinis as well as other business and financial records the IRS could obtain. The records produced by the Martinis (many of which had previously been requested by, but not produced to the IRS), go directly to the income items previously determined by the IRS. The Martinis have located an accountant and an agent to assist them in taking the information and preparing returns to submit to the United States for each of the years at issue in this case. Preparation of these returns will allow for a more orderly resolution of this case as it will allow the parties to significantly narrow the areas of dispute (if any once the returns are prepared), and will greatly facilitate a discussion of what amounts are actually in dispute and by how much.

The United States anticipates that the end result of this process would be (1) a stipulation of the amounts the parties agree on; and then either (2a) dispositive motions covering the remaining disputed

1	amounts, if any, or (2b) if such matters are not suitable for summary judgment, a trial only on these	
2	remaining disputed amounts. The Martinis have also expressed a strong desire to include the foreclosure	
3	counts (i.e. the nominee/alter ego determinations) for potential resolution in any discussions with the	
4	United States following preparation of the Form 1040s. The United States is in favor of such a	
5	suggestion. Thus, in the interest of efficiency of the Court's resources, the parties respectfully request a	
6	stay of the entire action to allow the parties to conduct the necessary work to prepare the Form 1040s and	
7	then to review the submitted returns.	
8	The Martinis have been informed by the parties assisting them that the process of completing the	
9	Form 1040s for the years at issue in this case will take approximately ninety (90) days. The United	
10	States will need approximately sixty (60) days to review the returns and to try and reach agreement with	
11	the Martinis concerning the tax liabilities set forth in those returns.	
12	WHEREFORE, the parties respectfully request a stay of this action for one-hundred and fifty	
13	(150) days, to and until Monday, August 12, 2013 (because August 10, 2013 falls on a Saturday),	
14	vacating the current schedule. The parties will file a status report on Monday, June 17, 2013, to update	
15	the Court on the progress of the process outlined above, and request a status conference to be held on or	
16	about August 12, 2013.	
17	Respectfully submitted this 13 day of March 13, 2013.	
18	KATHRYN KENEALLY	
19	Assistant Attorney General	
20	ADAM R. SMART	
21	Trial Attorney, Tax Division	

Tax Division U.S. Department of Justice

P.O. Box 683, Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044-0683

Attorney for the United States of America

Of counsel:

DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney

PO Box 5930 Incline Village, NV 89450

22

23

24

25

26

27

## Case 3:12-cv-00059-BMR-WGC Document 26 Filed 03/12/13 Page 4 of 5

1	
4	
1 2	Margin Hartine Source Anoutine
3	INCLINE MEADOWS LLC MARGARET MARTINI PO Box 5930 PO Box 5930
4	Incline Village, NV 89450 Incline Village, NV 89450 Pro Se
5	
6	Thereself There was the transfer
7	MARIANE FAMILY TRUST PO Box 5930  TOTAL MANZANITA FAMILY TRUST PO Box 5930  PO Box 5930
8	Incline Village, NV 89450  Incline Village, NV 89450  Incline Village, NV 89450
9	
10	
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.
12	Phy m. On
\3  4	PHILIP M. PRO
.5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
6	Dated: March 14, 2013.
7	
8	
9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2.5	
26 27	
77	

1 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 2 I HEREBY CERTIFY that on March 13, 2013, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of 3 Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the following: 4 HERBERT B. KAPLAN 5 Deputy District Attorney P. O. Box 30083 6 Reno, NV 89520-3083 7 Attorney for Washoe County 8 and that service was made on this date by causing a copy of the foregoing to be sent via postage paid 9 United States first class mail to the following: 10 Margaret Martini, Trustee 11 Marjane Family Trust PO Box 5930 12 Incline Village, NV 89450 13 David Martini, Trustee 14 Manzanita Family Trust PO Box 5930 15 Incline Village, NV 89450 16 Margaret Martini, Member 17 Incline Meadows LLC PO Box 5930 18 Incline Village, NV 89450 19 Margaret Martini, Individually 20 PO Box 5930 Incline Village, NV 89450 21 Pro Se 22 David Martini, Individually 23 PO Box 5930 Incline Village, NV 89450 24 Pro Se 25 26 Trial Attorney, Tax Division 27